

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/603,222		EPSTEIN, MEREL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jila M. Mohandesi		3728	

All Participants:

(1) Jila M. Mohandesi.

(2) Arnold S. Weintraub.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims discussed:

7-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Montesi (US 4,316,290)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JILA M. MOHANDESI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Weintraub gave the examiner authorization to enter the attached examiner's amendment in order to define the claimed invention over the prior art and place the case in clear condition for allowance by avoiding a rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) in view of Montesi (U.S. patent 4,316,290).